	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	08/22	5,478	KOHN ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	Exam	iner	Art Unit	
	Anne	Marie S. Wehbe	1632	
All Participants:	Stat	Status of Application:		
(1) Anne Marie S. Wehbe.	(3	)		
(2) <u>Raymond Lillie</u> .	(4	)		
Date of Interview: 12 August 2004	Time	e:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	ີ Applicant's rep No	oresentative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A				
Claims discussed: 1-5, 21-22				
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent 5,911,983				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NA	TURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The exami eparate record o	ner will provide a writt of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
		-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applica	ant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)	

Application No. 08/225,478

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative returned the examiner's phone call following a voice message left by the examiner for Raymond Lillie. The examiner explained that although the Board reversed the previous examiner of record's rejection of the claims, new prior art not of record had been identified which would apply to claims 1-3, 5 and 21-22 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). The examiner suggested that since claim 4 is considered allowable, the applicant might consider limiting the claims to adenosine deaminase in order to avoid re-opening prosecution. The applicant's representative declined. The examiner indicated that a new office action would be mailed to applicants.